

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,557	05/13/2004	Richard Lubaway	81099481 / FMC 1749 PUSP	3556
28395 7590 02/28/2007 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL 1000 TOWN CENTER			EXAMINER	
			REDMAN, JERRY E	
22ND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
50011111222	5, WI 10075 1250		3634	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MC	ONTHS	02/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/709,557	LUBAWAY, RICHARD			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jerry Redman	3634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peric ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	December 2006				
2a)[nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
·	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n n				
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
,—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
	ion Papers	,				
	·					
	The drawing(a) filed an incident a second control of the drawing(b) filed an incident and incide					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		* *			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached O	TRICE Action or form PTO-152.			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bure		·			
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rec	ceived.			
	•	•				
	•					
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	fail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of Infon 6) Other:	mal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, lines 1-2, it is not readily apparent to the Examiner if the applicant is claiming an apparatus or an apparatus in combination with a window and mounting surface. Throughout claims 1-8, the applicant clearly and positively recites the window and mounting surface. If the applicant intends on claiming the combination, then the applicant must clearly and positively recite the window and mounting surface in the preamble. In claim 9, lines 1-3, it is not readily apparent to the Examiner if the applicant is claiming an apparatus or an apparatus in combination with a window. Throughout claims 9-14, the applicant clearly and positively sets forth the window. If the applicant indents on claiming the combination, then the applicant must clearly and positively recite the window in the preamble. In claim 15, line lines 1-2, it is not readily apparent to the Examiner if the applicant is claiming an apparatus or an apparatus in combination with a window. Throughout claims 15-20, the applicant clearly and positively sets forth the window. If the applicant indents on claiming the combination, then the applicant must clearly and positively recite the window in the preamble.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,557

Art Unit: 3634

Page 3

Depending on the applicant's amendment, it appears that claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 571-272-6835.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner